

Ads by Google

We Want to Read Your Book

Get Feedback and then Explore Your Publishing Options. No Cost. www.DorrancePublishing.com

Freelance Editors

Build your business through the Editorial Freelancers Association. www.the-efa.org

The abrasive quality of the polypropylene fibers leads to more scrapes and minor injuries. While these injuries are not dangerous in isolation, turf burn may factor in serious <u>MRSA infections</u>.

Players on natural grass fields are more likely to sustain:

- Neural injuries
- Ligament injuries
- Debris injuries

Earlier research by Mevers and Barnhill examined the rate and severity of injuries on FieldTurf brand products versus natural grass [2004]. The 5-year prospective study tracking high school football injuries found that there were "higher incidences of 1- to 2-day time loss injuries, 22+ days time loss injuries, head and neural trauma, and ligament injuries were reported on natural grass."

Another study by Naunheim, et al. found that the application setting matters [2004]. While the indoor artificial field was softer than the outdoor natural grass field, the outdoor artificial was the hardest of the three turfs studied and offered the least protection from head injury upon impact.

Synthetic Field Turf Versus Natural Grass Sporting Fields- Reasons for Differing Safety Issues

Early foam-based artificial turf products like Astroturf were particularly hard surfaces with increased injury risks. New field turf products like FieldTurf, Sprinturf, SmartGrass, and Sporturf show improved compression characteristics. At the time of installation, synthetic turf exhibits superior impact attenuation compared to natural grass. This explains the reduction in severe neural and ligament injuries.

A major concern with artificial turf is research showing compression characteristics changing over time. Hard and soft spots develop, with more compact areas measuring unfavorably when compared to natural grass fields. In fact, the hardest areas on an older artificial turf sporting field can be harder than older foam-based artificial turf surfaces [Naunheim et al. 2004]. This variability may put players at greater risk over time.

References:

Ford KR, Manson NA, Evans BJ, Myer GD, Gwin RC, Heidt RS, Jr., Hewett TE. "Comparison of in-shoe loading patterns on natural grass and synthetic turf." Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, Dec 2006;9(6):433-40. Epub May 2, 2006.

Livesay GA, Reda DR, and Nauman EA. "Peak torque and rotational stiffness developed at the shoe-surface interface: the effect of shoe type and playing surface." American Journal of Sports Medicine, Mar 2006; 34 (3):415-42. Epub Jan 6, 2006.

Meyers MC, Barnhill BS. "Incidence, causes, and severity of high school football injuries on FieldTurf versus natural grass: a 5 year prospective study." American Journal of Sports Medicine. Oct-Nov 2004; 32(7):1626-38.

Naunheim RS, McGurren M, Standeven J, Fucetola R, Lauryssen C, and Deibert E. "Does the Use of artificial turf contribute to head injuries?" Journal of Trauma, Oct 2002; 53(4):691-694

Naunheim RS, Parrott H, and Standeven J. "A comparison of artificial turf." Journal of Trauma, Dec 2004; 57 (6):1311-4.

Ramirez M, Schaffer KB, Shen H, Kashani S, Kraus JF. "Injuries to high school football athletes in California." American Journal of Sports Medicine, July 2006; 34(7):1147-58. Epub Feb 21, 2006.

The copyright of the article Artificial Field Turf vs Natural Grass Injuries in Sports Medicine is owned by Carla Marie Boulianne. Permission to republish Artificial Field Turf vs Natural Grass Injuries in print or online must be granted by the author in writing.



Football Players Incur Higher Injury Rates on Turf

Synthetic Soccer **Fields Hold Higher** Turf Toe Risk

Ads by Google

Shipping!



